# Univariate continuous distributions: symmetries and transformations

M.C. Jones

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, U.K.

#### ABSTRACT

If the univariate random variable X follows the distribution with distribution function F, then so does  $Y = F^{-1}(1 - F(X))$ . This known result defines the type of (generalised) symmetry of F, which is here referred to as T-symmetry; for example, ordinary symmetry about  $\theta$  corresponds to  $Y = 2\theta - X$ . Some distributions, with density  $f_S$ , display a density-level symmetry of the form  $f_S(x) = f_S(s(x))$ , for some monotone transformation function  $s(x) \neq x$ ; I call this S-symmetry. The main aim of this article is to introduce the S-symmetric dual of any (necessarily T-symmetric) F, and to explore the consequences thereof. Chief amongst these are the connections between the random variables following F and  $f_S$ , and relationships between measures of ordinary symmetry based on quantiles and on density values.

Keywords: density-based asymmetry; probability integral transformation; quantile-based skewness; R-symmetry; S-symmetry.

#### 1. Introduction

The usual notion of symmetry of a univariate absolutely continuous distribution is that the random variable  $X - \theta$  has the same distribution as  $\theta - X$  for some centre of symmetry  $\theta$ . This will be called "ordinary symmetry" in this article.

Even when not ordinary symmetric, a distribution might have an alternative symmetry such as, for non-negative X, log-symmetry, that is, the ordinary symmetry of the distribution of  $\log X$ . This is equivalent to saying that X has the same distribution as  $\phi^2/X$  for some  $\phi$ . See Seshadri (1965). In fact, as is known, every distribution has a symmetry of this sort: for each distribution, there is a unique continuous decreasing function t such that X has the same distribution as t(X). Here, I shall call this 'T-symmetry'. See Section 2.1.

Recently, there has been interest in an alternative, density-based, symmetry, the identification of a continuous monotone transformation function  $s(x) \neq x$  such that f(x) = f(s(x)) for all x in the support of the distribution with density f. Here, I shall call this, as I have elsewhere, 'S-symmetry'. See Section 2.2.

The main contribution of this article is to establish a duality between T- and S-symmetry. Specifically, in Section 3.1, I shall identify, for each distribution with a specific T-symmetry, the density of a corresponding distribution with S-symmetry where the symmetry functions are the same, s(x) = t(x) for all x. I shall also show how the random variables associated with these dual distributions can be obtained one from the other. After description of a number of special cases and antecedents in Section 4, the remaining main sections of the paper consider some further aspects of the interaction between T- and S-symmetry (Section 5) and some further aspects of T-symmetry alone (Section 6). There are brief closing remarks in Section 7.

#### 2. Background: T- and S-Symmetries

## 2.1 T-Symmetry

Let the distribution of interest have invertible distribution function F. To identify t, start with the observation that if U is uniformly distributed on (0,1), then 1-U, and no other function of U, is also distributed as U(0,1). The probability integral transformation (PIT) then tells us that  $X = F^{-1}(U)$  follows the distribution F. But because 1-U is also uniform on (0,1), it must be the case that  $Y = F^{-1}(1-U)$  follows F also. Thus, both X and Y = t(X), where

$$t(x) = F^{-1}(1 - F(x)), (1)$$

have the same distribution, F.

For an alternative derivation, consider determining t such that

$$F(x) = P(X \le x) = P(t(X) \le x) = P(X \ge t^{-1}(x)) = 1 - F(t^{-1}(x))$$

or equivalently F(t(x)) = 1 - F(x), and hence (1). This argument assumes that t is decreasing (as indeed is (1)); if t were increasing,  $F(x) = F(t^{-1}(x))$  for all x implies t(x) = x.

The beautiful observation (1), which applies to every continuous distribution F, is certainly very far from new (e.g. Doksum, 1975, MacGillivray, 1986), but seems not to be very widely appreciated. I particularly wish to draw attention to the deep and insightful treatment of this and related issues by Kucerovsky, Marchand & Small (2005). See that paper for a comprehensive analysis additionally allowing transformations with singularities (which I shall touch on briefly in Section 6.1) and much more rigorous and wide-ranging mathematics than will be found here.

Here are some immediate general observations on (1). First, t(x) can be written in terms of the survivor function  $\overline{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$ , trivially as  $t(x) = F^{-1}\{\overline{F}(x)\}$  and equivalently as  $t(x) = \overline{F}^{-1}\{F(x)\}$ . The function t(x), as well as being decreasing, is self-inverse: t(t(x)) = x;  $t^{-1}(x) = t(x)$ . And, rearranging a formula above,

$$F(t(x)) + F(x) = 1. (2)$$

Of course, the distribution of X - t(X) is ordinary symmetric about zero.

The symmetry of this subsection, which to make distinct from the different symmetry to follow will be called 'T-symmetry', can be thought of as being on the level of distribution functions and hence intimately associated with transformations of random variables.

# 2.2 S-Symmetry

In contrast to the distribution-level T-symmetry of Section 2.1, in this subsection I assume the existence of a density function, supposed below to be differentiable except perhaps at its mode, and hence consider symmetry at the level of the density,

$$f_S(s(x)) = f_S(x) \tag{3}$$

for all x and some function  $s(x) \neq x$ . Motivated by the special case of R-symmetry introduced in the seminal work of Mudholkar & Wang (2007) which takes  $s(x) = \psi^2/x$  for x > 0, I call the property (3) 'S-symmetry' in Jones (2010, 2012). It is superficially reminiscent of the 'generalized symmetry' of Azzalini (2012) and Azzalini & Capitanio (2014, Section 1.3.2); however, a requirement of the latter that a certain determinant be unity affords no generalization over ordinary symmetry in the univariate case of interest here, but is designed for deployment as a multivariate extension.

Interest in the current paper centres on s being a one-to-one function. If s is decreasing,  $f'_S$  has opposite signs at x and s(x) and hence  $f_S$  is unimodal or possibly, in the case of finite support, uniantimodal, with mode or antimode at  $x_0$  such that  $s(x_0) = x_0$ . It also then follows that s, like t in Section 2.1, must be self-inverse. Also

as for t,  $s(x) \neq x$  increasing is ruled out, in this case because it implies an increasing or decreasing density which would have to take equal values at x and s(x).

As noted in Chaubey, Mudholkar & Jones (2010), the R-symmetric distributions coincide with the Cauchy–Schlömilch distributions introduced by Baker (2008). Similarly, in the unimodal case, the S-symmetric distributions coincide with the 'transformation of scale' distributions of Jones (2010, 2014); see Section 4.4.

## 3. The S-Symmetric Dual of a Distribution

I will now use  $f_S$  specifically for the density of the S-symmetric dual of f defined in the theorem below, that is, the density of an S-symmetric distribution depending only on f and/or F and with

$$s(x) = t(x) = F^{-1}(1 - F(x)). (4)$$

Theorem. The density of the S-symmetric dual of the distribution F with density f is given by

$$f_S(x) = \frac{2f(x)}{1 - t'(x)} = \frac{2f(x)f(t(x))}{f(x) + f(t(x))},\tag{5}$$

where t is given by (4), the latter representation being the harmonic mean of the density f(x) and the function f(t(x)).

PROOF. The S-symmetry of  $f_S$  with s satisfying (4) is obvious from the final expression in (5). That  $f_S$  is a density follows from its nonnegativity and the fact that

$$I \equiv \int f_S(x) dx = 2 \int \frac{f(x)f(t(x))}{f(x) + f(t(x))} dx = 2 \int \left[ f(x) - \frac{f^2(x)}{f(x) + f(t(x))} \right] dx.$$

By using the substitution y = t(x) in the second part of the final integral, we get I = 2 - I so that I = 1.

I do not know how to construe and prove uniqueness of the construction above. However, I am not aware of any other candidates for this role.

When the integrals in the proof above have upper limit y, we find that  $F_S(y) = 2F(y) - 1 + F_S(t(y))$  where  $F_S$  is the distribution function of the dual S-symmetric distribution. Using (2) and rearranging leads to the following intriguing invariance relationship between probabilities of lying in certain intervals under f and  $f_S$ :

$$F_S(y) - F_S(t(y)) = F(y) - F(t(y)).$$
 (6)

By differentiation of  $f_S$ , any stationary point,  $x_p$ , of it satisfies  $\ell(x_p) = \ell(t(x_p))$  where  $\ell(y) = f'(y)/f^3(y)$ . If  $f_S$  is unimodal, this means that its mode,  $x_0$ , must

equal the median,  $m_F$ , of f, because  $t(m_F) = F^{-1}(1 - F(m_F)) = m_F$ ; also, from (5),  $f_S(m_F) = f(m_F)$ .

Now let  $X \sim f$ , where  $\sim$  denotes 'follows the distribution with density', and  $X_S \sim f_S$  given by (5). It can readily be checked that X and  $X_S$  are related in the following way:

$$X_S = \begin{cases} X & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{1 - t'(X)} = \frac{f(t(X))}{f(X) + f(t(X))}, \\ t(X) & \text{with probability } \frac{-t'(X)}{1 - t'(X)} = \frac{f(X)}{f(X) + f(t(X))}, \end{cases}$$
(7)

$$X = \begin{cases} X_S & \text{with probability } 1/2, \\ t(X_S) & \text{with probability } 1/2. \end{cases}$$
 (8)

These relationships are reminiscent of Theorem 2.1 of Jones (2012) and for good reason: see Section 4.4 below. They can be used to good effect in random variate generation of S-symmetric distributions; for the main example thereof, see Section 4.2.

## 4. Special Cases and Antecedents

## 4.1 Ordinary Symmetry

If F is ordinary symmetric about  $\theta$ ,  $F(2\theta - x) = 1 - F(x)$  and so t(X), which has the same distribution as X, is given by  $t(X) = F^{-1}\{F(2\theta - X)\} = 2\theta - X$ , as expected. Also, since  $f(2\theta - x) = f(x)$ , (5) yields  $f_S(x) = f(x)$ , and there is no distinction between T- and S-symmetry.

## 4.2 R-Symmetry

If F on x > 0 is log-symmetric,  $F(\phi^2/x) = 1 - F(x)$  (Seshadri, 1965). By (2), the equidistribution here is of X and  $t(X) = \phi^2/X$ . Using  $f(\phi^2/x) = x^2 f(x)/\phi^2$ , the S-symmetric, or more specifically R-symmetric (Mudholkar & Wang, 2007), dual of log-symmetric f has density

$$f_R(x) = 2x^2 f(x)/(x^2 + \phi^2), \quad x > 0.$$
 (9)

A particular example of this R-symmetric duality is when f is the density of  $1/\sqrt{B}$  and B follows the Birnbaum-Saunders distribution, which is dual to the R-symmetric root-reciprocal inverse Gaussian, or CoGaussian, distribution (Mudholkar, Yu & Awadalla, 2014), that is, the distribution of  $1/\sqrt{G}$  when G follows the inverse Gaussian distribution. In Jones (2012), I mention this and the consequential relationships between the Birnbaum-Saunders and inverse Gaussian distributions themselves, which give a derivation of the Michael, Schucany & Haas (1976) method for generating inverse Gaussian random variates.

The lognormal distribution, in its usual normal-based parametrisation, is both log-symmetric (about  $e^{\mu}$ ) and R-symmetric (about  $e^{\mu-\sigma^2}$ ). However, the lognormal distribution is not 'self-dual', and it is clear from (9) that no distribution can be.

## 4.3 Exponential and Power Law Symmetries

If F is the exponential distribution with density  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ ,  $\lambda, x > 0$ , then it is easy to show that  $t(x) = -\log(1 - e^{-\lambda x})/\lambda \equiv t_e(x)$ , so if X has the exponential distribution,  $t_e(X)$  has the same exponential distribution. Changing argument in (2), exponential T-symmetry corresponds to distributions F on x > 0 such that

$$F(-\lambda \log u) + F(-\lambda \log(1-u)) = 1,$$

0 < u < 1. The S-symmetric dual of the exponential distribution has density

$$2\lambda e^{-\lambda x}(1 - e^{-\lambda x}), \quad \lambda, x > 0.$$

This is a special case of the exponentiated exponential distribution (Gupta & Kundu, 1999). Use of the exponential symmetry represented by  $t_e(x)$  in transformation of scale distributions is suggested from other considerations in Jones (2010, 2014).

If F is the power law distribution with density  $f(x) = \alpha x^{\alpha-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , 0 < x < 1, then  $t_p(X) \equiv (1 - X^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$  follows the same power law distribution. In this case, T-symmetry corresponds to distributions on 0 < x < 1 such that  $F(x^{\alpha}) + F((1-x)^{\alpha}) = 1$ . The S-symmetric dual of this F has a more complicated density that is omitted.

#### 4.4 Transforming Ordinary Symmetric Distributions

Write  $F(x) = G(\Pi^{-1}(x))$  where G is an arbitrary distribution ordinary symmetric about zero and  $\Pi = F^{-1}(G)$  is the appropriate increasing function such that  $\Pi(Y)$  follows the distribution F when Y comes from G (with density g). Thus,

$$t(x) = \Pi\left(G^{-1}\left\{1 - G(\Pi^{-1}(x))\right\}\right) = \Pi\left(G^{-1}\left\{G(-\Pi^{-1}(x))\right\}\right) = \Pi(-\Pi^{-1}(x)), \quad (10)$$

using the ordinary symmetry about zero of G. Now, since  $f(x) = g(\Pi^{-1}(x))/\Pi'(\Pi^{-1}(x))$  and  $t'(x) = -\Pi'(-\Pi^{-1}(x))/\Pi'(\Pi^{-1}(x))$ , the density of the S-symmetric dual of F is

$$\frac{2g(\Pi^{-1}(x))}{\Pi'(\Pi^{-1})(x) + \Pi'(-\Pi^{-1})(x)}.$$

The particularly simple form  $f_S(x) = 2g(\Pi^{-1}(x))$  arises if  $\Pi$  is chosen to satisfy

$$\Pi'(y) + \Pi'(-y) = 1$$
 or essentially equivalently  $\Pi(y) - \Pi(-y) = y$ . (11)

These are precisely the 'transformation of scale' distributions of Jones (2010, 2014). And they are dual to F written as  $G(\Pi^{-1}(x))$  using the same G and  $\Pi$ .

In fact, because of the arbitrary nature of the choice of symmetric g, for any t(x) given by (1), it is possible to choose  $\Pi$  through a variation on the right-hand equation in (11), namely

$$\Pi^{-1}(x) = x - t(x),$$

to equate the class of S-symmetric distributions to the class of transformation of scale distributions. Since  $\Pi^{-1} = G^{-1}(F)$ , this is equivalent to specifying G via the following ordinary symmetrisation of F:

$$G^{-1}(u) = F^{-1}(u) - F^{-1}(1-u).$$

## 5. Further Aspects of T- and S-Symmetry Together

## 5.1 Measures of Ordinary Asymmetry

Ordinary asymmetry, that is, the degree to which a distribution departs from ordinary symmetry, might be measured by how far the symmetry transformation  $F^{-1}(1-F(x))$  departs from -x. Doksum's (1975) 'symmetry function' is of precisely this form. It is  $\mathcal{A}_D(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{F^{-1}(1-F(x)) + x\}$ . Doksum argues that  $\mathcal{A}_D(x)$  should be compared with the natural centre of ordinary symmetry, the median  $m_F$ , yielding a functional asymmetry measure proportional to  $F^{-1}(1-F(x)) - 2m_F + x$ . Further, making this quantity scale free by dividing by the corresponding scale measure  $F^{-1}(1-F(x)) - x$  yields the function

$$\gamma_F(x) \equiv \frac{F^{-1}(1 - F(x)) - 2m_F + x}{F^{-1}(1 - F(x)) - x}.$$
(12)

This differs from the more usual quantile-based asymmetry function of David & Johnson (1956),

$$\gamma_F(u) \equiv \frac{F^{-1}(1-u) - 2m_F + F^{-1}(u)}{F^{-1}(1-u) - F^{-1}(u)}, \quad 0 < u < 1, \tag{13}$$

only by the change of scale u = F(x). See also MacGillivray (1986).

On the other hand, Critchley & Jones (2008) propose the following density-based asymmetry function for use with unimodal distributions (see also Boshnakov, 2007). This is of particular interest for unimodal S-symmetric distributions. Write  $x_L(p)$  and  $x_R(p)$ ,  $0 , for the solutions of <math>f_S(x) = pf_S(x_0)$  to the left and right of the mode,  $x_0$ , respectively, when  $f_S$  is unimodal; note that  $x_L(p) = s(x_R(p))$ . Then, their scaled asymmetry function takes the form

$$\gamma_{f_S}(p) \equiv \frac{x_L(p) - 2x_0 + x_R(p)}{x_L(p) - x_R(p)} = \frac{s(x_R(p)) - 2x_0 + x_R(p)}{s(x_R(p)) - x_R(p)}.$$
 (14)

For the S-symmetric dual of f,  $s(x) = F^{-1}(1 - F(x))$ ,  $x_0 = m_F$ , and if we set  $x = x_R(p)$ , the resulting density-based measure  $\gamma_{f_S}(x)$  obtained from (14) is the same as  $\gamma_F(x)$  at (12). The versions of (12) based on a change of scale to (0,1) differ only in the way this is done: distribution-based u = F(x) in (13) and density-based  $p = f_S(x)/f_S(m_F)$  in (14).

#### 5.2 An Intermediate Distribution

With t given by (4), from (7),  $t(X_S)$  is like  $X_S$  with the probabilities reversed:

$$t(X_S) = \begin{cases} X & \text{with probability } \frac{-t'(X)}{1-t'(X)} = \frac{f(X)}{f(X)+f(t(X))}, \\ t(X) & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{1-t'(X)} = \frac{f(t(X))}{f(X)+f(t(X))}. \end{cases}$$
(15)

The distribution of  $t(X_S)$  has density

$$f_{T(S)}(x) = \frac{2f^2(x)}{f(x) + f(t(x))},\tag{16}$$

which features in the proof of the theorem in Section 3. As with (5), it is superficially surprising that this is a bona fide density.

## 6. Further Aspects of T-Symmetry Alone

#### 6.1 Two Equi-Distributed Transformations?

The standard Cauchy distribution provides a special case in which X has the same distribution as both -X and 1/X. Since  $F(x) = (1/2) + (\tan^{-1} x)/\pi$ , (1) gives t(x) = -x.

The equi-distribution of X and 1/X arises by allowing transformations with singularities (for much more on the latter, see Kucerovsky, Marchand & Small, 2005). Equi-distribution of X and -X remains unique among continuous decreasing transformations. Another aspect of this is that 1/X is the unique continuous decreasing, and hence equi-distributed, transformation for the half-Cauchy distribution (see also Seshadri, 1965). This is the standard Cauchy distribution truncated at 0; it has  $F(x) = 2(\tan^{-1} x)/\pi$ , for which (1) gives  $t(x) = \tan\{(\pi/2) - \tan^{-1} x\} = 1/x$ .

#### 6.2 Survival Copulas

Suppose that  $X_1 \sim f_1$  with distribution function  $F_1$  and  $X_2 \sim f_2$  with distribution function  $F_2$  are jointly distributed with distribution function  $F(x_1, x_2)$ . Of course, the joint distribution of  $U_1 = F_1(X_1)$  and  $U_2 = F_2(X_2)$  is the copula, C, associated with F. Now, a well known alternative copula associated with this copula is its survival copula,  $\hat{C}(v_1, v_2) = v_1 + v_2 - 1 + C(1 - v_1, 1 - v_2)$ , which is the distribution function

of  $1 - U_1$  and  $1 - U_2$  (e.g. Nelsen, 2006, Section 2.6). The name, of course, arises because this copula is the distribution of  $V_1 = \overline{F}_1(X_1)$  and  $V_2 = \overline{F}_2(X_2)$  which, in the current context, can also be seen as  $V_i = F_i(t_i(X_i))$  where  $t_i(x) = F_i^{-1}(1 - F_i(x))$ , i = 1, 2, as at (1).

The distribution function associated with the survival copula is simply  $F(t_1(x), t_2(y))$ . Its marginal distributions are  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , the same as those of F, but its dependence structure is that of  $t_1(X_1)$  and  $t_2(X_2)$  rather than  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

## 6.3 Two Ways From X to Z

Suppose that  $X \sim f$  and  $Z \sim \ell$  with distribution function L, say. Then the usual way of transforming Z to get X, via the PIT, is  $Z = L^{-1}\{F(X)\}$ . This can equivalently be written  $Z = \overline{L}^{-1}\{\overline{F}(X)\}$ . However, again, since F(X) and 1 - F(X) have the same distribution, this transformation is not, as might be assumed, unique: there is another pair of equivalent transformations with the same distribution as Z, namely,  $L^{-1}\{\overline{F}(X)\} = \overline{L}^{-1}\{F(X)\}$ . Of course, the latter pair are the result of applying the transformation  $L^{-1}(\overline{L})$  to the former pair.

As just one minor example, the Weibull distribution arises as the distribution of  $E^{\beta}$ , say, where E follows the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\beta > 0$ . What is not so well appreciated is that the Weibull distribution also arises as the distribution of  $\{-\log(1 - e^{-\lambda E})/\lambda\}^{\beta}$ .

## 7. Closing Remarks

To repeat, T-symmetry is a property of every univariate continuous distribution, and it and its consequences may well be familiar to many readers. S-symmetry, on the other hand, defines a particular class of distributions, those with the density symmetry property (3) for some function  $s(x) \neq x$ . The main aim of this article — the theorem of Section 3 — has been to introduce the S-symmetric analogue of any given (T-symmetric) distribution F and to explore the consequences thereof, chief amongst which may be the random variable connections at the end of Section 3 and the relationships between measures of ordinary symmetry in Section 5.1.

#### References

Azzalini, A., 2012. Selection models under generalized symmetry settings. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 64, 737–750.

Azzalini, A., with the collaboration of Capitanio, A. 2014. The Skew-Normal and Related Families. Cambridge University Press.

Baker, R., 2008. Probabilistic applications of the Schlömilch transformation. Commun. Statist. Theor. Meth. 37, 2162–2176.

- Boshnakov, G.N., 2007. Some measures for asymmetry of distributions. Statist. Probab. Lett. 77, 1111-1116.
- Chaubey, Y.P., Mudholkar, G.S., Jones, M.C., 2010. Reciprocal symmetry, unimodality and Khintchine's theorem. Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 466, 2079–2096.
- Critchley, F., Jones, M.C., 2008. Asymmetry and gradient asymmetry functions: density-based skewness and kurtosis. Scand. J. Statist. 35, 425–437.
- David, F.N., Johnson, N.L., 1956. Some test of significance with ordered variables. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B 18, 1–20.
- Doksum, K.A., 1975. Measures of location and asymmetry. Scand. J. Statist. 2, 11–22.
- Gupta, R.D., Kundu, D., 1999. Generalized exponential distributions. Austral. New Z. J. Statist. 41, 173–188.
- Jones, M.C., 2010. Distributions generated by transformations of scale using an extended Schlömilch transformation. Sankhyā Ser. A 72, 359–375.
- Jones, M.C., 2012. Relationships between distributions with certain symmetries. Statist. Probab. Lett. 82, 1737–1744.
- Jones, M.C., 2014. Generating distributions by transformation of scale. Statist. Sinica, 24, 749–771.
- Kucerovsky, D., Marchand, E., Small, R.D., 2005. On the equality in distribution of the random variables X and g(X). Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math., 23, 93–114.
- MacGillivray, H.L., 1986. Skewness and asymmetry: measures and orderings. Ann. Statist. 14, 994–1011.
- Michael, J.R., Schucany, W.R., Haas, R.W., 1976. Generating random variates using transformations with multiple roots. Amer. Statist. 30, 88–90.
- Mudholkar, G.S., Wang, H., 2007. IG-symmetry and R-symmetry: inter-relations and applications to the inverse Gaussian theory. J. Statist. Planning Inference 137, 3655–3671.
- Mudholkar, G.S., Yu, Z., Awadalla, S.A., 2014. The CoGaussian distribution: a model for right skewed data. Manuscript.
- Nelsen, R.B., 2006. An Introduction to Copulas, second edition. Springer.
- Seshadri, V., 1965. On random variables which have the same distribution as their reciprocals. Canad. Math. Bull. 8, 819–824.